

Commissioner for Patents United States Patent and Trademark Office P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

MCKELLAR IP LAW, PLLC 784 SOUTH POSEYVILLE ROAD MIDLAND MI 48640 COPY MAILED

JUL 0 6 2006

OFFICE OF PETITIONS

In re Application of John A. Reeve

Application No. 10/052,002

Filed: January 17, 2002

Attorney Docket No. MAC-199

ON PETITION

This is a decision on the petition filed June 5, 2006, to revive the above identified application under 37 CFR 1.137(b)¹.

The petition under 37 CFR 1.137(b) is **GRANTED**.

This application became abandoned on November 7, 2005, for failure to file a timely response to a Restriction Requirement mailed October 5, 2005, which set a one month shortened statutory period for reply. No extensions of the time for reply under 37 CFR 1.136(a) were obtained. Accordingly, a Notice of Abandonment was mailed April 18, 2006.

The petition fee in the amount of \$750.00 has been applied to the finance records for the instant patent application.

The response to the Restriction Requirement filed September 19, 2005 will be referred to Technology Center 1746 for further processing.

Telephone inquiries concerning this matter may be directed to the undersigned Petitions Attorney at (571) 272-3212.

Patricia Faison-Ball

Senior Petitions Attorney

Office of Petitions

¹Effective December 1, 1997, the provisions of 37 CFR 1.137(b) now provide that where the delay in reply was unintentional, a petition may be filed to revive an abandoned application or a lapsed patent pursuant to 37 CFR 1.137(b). A grantable petition filed under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.137(b) <u>must</u> be accompanied by:

⁽¹⁾ the required reply, unless previously filed. In a nonprovisional application abandoned for failure to prosecute, the required reply may be met by the filing of a continuing application. In an application or patent, abandoned or lapsed for failure to pay the issue fee or any portion thereof, the required reply must be the payment of the issue fee or any outstanding balance thereof.

⁽²⁾ the petition fee as set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(m);

⁽³⁾ a statement that the entire delay in filing the required reply from the due date for the reply until the filing of a grantable petition pursuant to 37 CFR 1.137(b) was unintentional. The Commissioner may required additional information where there is a question whether the delay was unintentional; and